

EXHIBIT B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Allergan, Inc.,
Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland
Unlimited Company, and
Allergan USA, Inc.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Revance Therapeutics, Inc., and Ajinomoto
Althea, Inc. d/b/a Ajinomoto Bio-Pharma
Services,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 21-1411-RGA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

FIRST/SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs Allergan, Inc., Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland Unlimited Company, and Allergan USA, Inc. (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), by their undersigned attorneys, bring this action against Defendants Revance Therapeutics, Inc. (“Revance”) and Ajinomoto Althea, Inc. d/b/a Ajinomoto Bio-Pharma Services (“ABPS”) (collectively, “Defendants”), and hereby allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. This is an action for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 11,033,625 (“the ’625 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 11,147,878 (“the ’878 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 11,285,216 (“the ’216 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 7,354,740 (“the ’740 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 8,409,828 (“the ’828 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 11,124,786 (“the ’786 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 11,203,748 (“the ’748 patent”), U.S. Patent No. 11,326,155 (“the ’155 patent”), and U.S. Patent No. 7,332,567 (“the ’567 patent”) (collectively, “the Asserted Patents”) arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271, and for a

declaratory judgment of infringement of the Asserted Patents arising under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271.

2. This action arises from Defendants' manufacture, use, and stockpiling of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection batches that are not solely for uses reasonably related to the development and submission of information for approval of Revance's Biologics License Application ("BLA") for its DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection product by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("FDA").

3. This action also arises from a substantial controversy between the parties concerning Defendants' expressed intent to immediately manufacture, market, and sell Revance's DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection product following ~~imminent~~ approval by the FDA. As described herein, an immediate, real, and justiciable controversy exists between Plaintiffs and Defendants as to whether Defendants' actions relating to DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection have infringed, presently infringe, and/or will infringe the Asserted Patents.

THE PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Allergan, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with a principal place of business at 1 North Waukegan Road, North Chicago, Illinois 60064. Allergan, Inc. is a wholly owned, indirect subsidiary of AbbVie Inc.

5. Plaintiff Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland Unlimited Company is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Republic of Ireland with a principal place of business at Castlebar Road, Westport, County Mayo, Ireland. Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland Unlimited Company is a wholly owned, indirect subsidiary of AbbVie Inc.

6. Plaintiff Allergan USA, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with a principal place of business at 1 North Waukegan Road,

North Chicago, Illinois 60064. Allergan USA, Inc. is a wholly owned, indirect subsidiary of AbbVie Inc.

7. ~~On information and belief,~~ Defendant Revance is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 1222 Demonbreun Street, Suite 2000, Nashville, Tennessee 37203.

8. ~~On information and belief,~~ Defendant Ajinomoto Althea, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 11040 Roselle Street, San Diego, California 92121.

9. ~~On information and belief,~~ Ajinomoto Althea, Inc. is also doing business as “Ajinomoto Bio-Pharma Services.” (*See, e.g.*, Ex. 71, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-Q at 16-17 (Aug. 5, 2021).)

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271, and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202.

11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

12. Revance is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District because, *inter alia*, it is a Delaware corporation and thus resides in Delaware.

13. Venue is proper in this Court as to Revance pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Revance is a Delaware corporation and thus resides in Delaware.

14. ABPS is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District because, *inter alia*, it is a Delaware corporation and thus resides in Delaware.

15. Venue is proper in this Court as to ABPS pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because ABPS is a Delaware corporation and thus resides in Delaware.

BACKGROUND

I. Plaintiffs' BOTOX® and BOTOX® Cosmetic (OnabotulinumtoxinA) Products

16. The bacterium *Clostridium botulinum* produces extremely poisonous toxins, including various types of botulinum neurotoxins. To date, seven immunologically distinct botulinum neurotoxins (serotypes A-G) have been identified. One of them, botulinum neurotoxin type A (“BoNT/A”), is considered among the most lethal natural biological agents. Exposure to BoNT/A can lead to the development of botulism, a rare and serious condition characterized by muscle paralysis and difficulty breathing, which can potentially result in death.

17. BoNT/A acts in the human body by binding to certain receptors on nerves that release the neurotransmitter acetylcholine, which regulates important nerve impulses throughout the central and peripheral nervous systems. After binding to these receptors, BoNT/A enters the nerves themselves and inhibits acetylcholine release, which stops nerve signaling entirely. BoNT/A achieves this inhibition by, for example, cleaving SNAP-25, a protein that is necessary for the release of acetylcholine. As a result, the nerves can no longer signal the muscles to expand and contract, which can have fatal consequences (*e.g.*, preventing expansion of the diaphragm, thus impairing breathing).

18. Plaintiffs and their predecessor pioneered the harnessing of botulinum toxins for use as safe and effective FDA-approved therapies, and Plaintiffs’ innovations have led them to become the market leader in this field. Plaintiffs market these therapies under the well-known, commercially successful, and trusted BOTOX® and BOTOX® Cosmetic brands.

19. Since first gaining FDA approval for BOTOX® in 1989 (called “Oculinum” at that time) for treating two rare eye muscle disorders, Plaintiffs have invested significant scientific and financial resources in researching botulinum toxins for therapeutic and aesthetic uses as part of their ongoing commitment to helping improve the lives of patients. Extensive

scientific research and large-scale clinical trials have resulted in the FDA granting approval to Plaintiffs for numerous medical and cosmetic indications for BOTOX® and BOTOX® Cosmetic.

20. Today, BOTOX® is FDA-approved for multiple therapeutic indications:

- treatment of overactive bladder with symptoms of urge urinary incontinence, urgency, and frequency, in adults who have an inadequate response to or are intolerant of an anticholinergic medication;
- treatment of urinary incontinence due to detrusor overactivity associated with a neurologic condition [e.g., spinal cord injury, multiple sclerosis] in adults who have an inadequate response to or are intolerant of an anticholinergic medication;
- treatment of neurogenic detrusor overactivity in pediatric patients 5 years of age and older who have an inadequate response to or are intolerant of anticholinergic medication;
- prophylaxis of headaches in adult patients with chronic migraine (≥ 15 days per month with headache lasting 4 hours a day or longer);
- treatment of spasticity in patients 2 years of age and older;
- treatment of cervical dystonia in adult patients, to reduce the severity of abnormal head position and neck pain;
- treatment of severe axillary hyperhidrosis that is inadequately managed by topical agents in adult patients;
- treatment of blepharospasm associated with dystonia in patients 12 years of age and older; and
- treatment of strabismus in patients 12 years of age and older.

(See Ex. 82, BOTOX® FDA Label (revised July 2021).)

21. In addition, BOTOX® Cosmetic is FDA-approved for numerous aesthetic indications in adult patients for the temporary improvement in the appearance of:

- moderate to severe glabellar lines associated with corrugator and/or procerus muscle activity;
- moderate to severe lateral canthal lines associated with orbicularis oculi activity; and

- moderate to severe forehead lines associated with frontalis muscle activity.

(See Ex. 93, BOTOX® Cosmetic FDA Label (revised July 2020).)

22. Plaintiffs' innovations in the botulinum toxin field have been essential to using BOTOX® and BOTOX® Cosmetic to effectively treat these conditions, while also abiding by the strictest quality and safety standards. With more than 100 sponsored studies backing the more than 100 million vials of BOTOX® and BOTOX® Cosmetic manufactured worldwide since product launch, physicians, healthcare providers, and patients continue to trust Plaintiffs' products as reliable and effective treatment options for various therapeutic and aesthetics uses.

23. Plaintiffs' innovations in the field of botulinum toxin therapies have continued to the present day and include advancements in animal protein free BoNT/A products. These and other innovations resulted in the issuance of numerous patents covering Plaintiffs' inventive formulations, manufacturing processes, and cell-based potency assays, which reflect Plaintiffs' ongoing, pioneering commitment to transforming botulinum toxins for safe and effective use in humans.

II. The Asserted Patents

A. U.S. Patent No. 11,033,625

24. The '625 patent, titled "Method for Stabilizing a Toxin," was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") on June 15, 2021. A true and accurate copy of the '625 patent is attached as Exhibit 44.

25. The named inventor listed on the '625 patent is Terrence J. Hunt.

26. Allergan, Inc. is the assignee of the '625 patent. Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland Unlimited Company holds an exclusive license with respect to the '625 patent, and Allergan USA, Inc. holds an exclusive sublicense with respect to the '625 patent.

27. The '625 patent includes 19 claims. By way of example, claim 1 of the '625 patent recites the following pharmaceutical composition:

1. A powder pharmaceutical composition, comprising:
a botulinum toxin, wherein the botulinum toxin is a type A serotype;
a surfactant;
at least one disaccharide selected from the group consisting of
sucrose and trehalose; and
a buffer sufficient to maintain a pH of from about 5 to about 7.3
upon reconstitution with sterile normal saline or water;
wherein the composition is suitable for intramuscular or
subcutaneous injection following reconstitution with sterile
normal saline or water,
wherein the composition is animal protein free, and
wherein the composition retains at least about 75% of the theoretical
maximum potency of the botulinum toxin following storage as a
powder for three months at below freezing temperature.

(Ex. ~~14~~, '625 patent at col. 73:38-54.)

B. U.S. Patent No. 11,147,878

28. The '878 patent, titled "Animal Protein-Free Pharmaceutical Compositions," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on October 19, 2021. A true and accurate copy of the '878 patent is attached as Exhibit ~~24~~ 25.

29. The named inventor listed on the '878 patent is Terrence J. Hunt.

30. Allergan, Inc. is the assignee of the '878 patent. Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland Unlimited Company holds an exclusive license with respect to the '878 patent, and Allergan USA, Inc. holds an exclusive sublicense with respect to the '878 patent.

31. The '878 patent includes 21 claims. By way of example, claim 1 of the '878 patent recites an animal protein free method to stabilize BoNT/A:

1. An animal protein free method to stabilize a serotype A Clostridial botulinum neurotoxin, comprising:
(a) compounding an aqueous carrier with two or more non-animal
derived non-protein excipients and a biologically active

serotype A Clostridial botulinum neurotoxin to form a compounded formulation; and
 (b) lyophilizing or vacuum-drying the compounded formulation to thereby provide a stable powdered formulation;
 wherein:
 the two or more non-animal derived non-protein excipients comprise (i) a surfactant and (ii) a disaccharide selected from the group consisting of trehalose and sucrose;
 the powdered formulation retains an initial potency of at least about 50% of the theoretical maximum potency of the botulinum toxin after reconstitution with normal saline or water;
 the powdered formulation has a pH from about 5 to about 7.3 after reconstitution with normal saline or water;
 the powdered formulation is suitable for intramuscular or subcutaneous administration after reconstitution with normal saline or water; and
 the powdered formulation is animal protein free and polysaccharide free.

(Ex. 25, '878 patent at col. 71:36-59.)

C. U.S. Patent No. 11,285,216

32. The '216 patent, titled "Animal Protein-Free Pharmaceutical Compositions," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on March 29, 2022. A true and accurate copy of the '216 patent is attached as Exhibit 6.

33. The named inventor listed on the '216 patent is Terrence J. Hunt.

34. Allergan, Inc. is the assignee of the '216 patent. Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland Unlimited Company holds an exclusive license with respect to the '216 patent, and Allergan USA, Inc. holds an exclusive sublicense with respect to the '216 patent.

35. The '216 patent includes 23 claims. By way of example, claim 1 of the '216 patent recites the following pharmaceutical composition:

Formatted: Pleading1_L2, Tab stops: 1", List tab

Formatted: Body Text, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

1. A powder pharmaceutical composition, comprising:
a botulinum toxin, wherein the botulinum toxin is a type A serotype;
a surfactant;
at least one disaccharide selected from the group consisting of
sucrose, lactose, and trehalose; and
a buffer sufficient to maintain a pH of from about 5.5 to about 6.5
upon reconstitution with sterile normal saline or water;
wherein:
the composition is suitable for intramuscular or subcutaneous
injection following reconstitution with sterile normal saline or
water;
the composition is animal protein free; and
the composition retains at least about 50% of the theoretical
maximum potency of the botulinum toxin following storage as a
powder for three months at room temperature.

(Ex. 6, '216 patent at col. 71:40-57.)

C.D. U.S. Patent No. 7,354,740

32,36. The '740 patent, titled "Animal Product Free System and Process for Purifying a Botulinum Toxin," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on April 8, 2008. A true and accurate copy of the '740 patent is attached as Exhibit 37.

33,37. The named inventors listed on the '740 patent are Hui Xiang, Mingjiang Luo, Ping Wang, and Stephen Donovan.

34,38. Allergan, Inc. is the assignee of the '740 patent. Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland Unlimited Company holds an exclusive license with respect to the '740 patent, and Allergan USA, Inc. holds an exclusive sublicense with respect to the '740 patent.

35,39. The '740 patent includes eight claims. By way of example, claim 1 of the '740 patent recites an animal protein free process for purifying a biologically active botulinum toxin:

1. An animal protein free ("APF") process for purifying a biologically active botulinum toxin, the process comprising the steps of:

- (a) obtaining a sample of a botulinum toxin fermentation culture, wherein the botulinum toxin fermentation culture results from a substantially APF process;
- (b) contacting a hydrophobic interaction chromatography column resin with the culture sample so as to permit capture of a botulinum toxin by the hydrophobic interaction chromatography column;
- (c) washing impurities off the hydrophobic interaction chromatography column;
- (d) eluting the botulinum toxin from the hydrophobic interaction column;
- (e) loading an ion exchange column chromatography column resin with the eluent from the hydrophobic interaction chromatography column;
- (f) washing impurities off the ion exchange chromatography column, and;
- (g) eluting the botulinum toxin from the ion exchange column, thereby obtaining a purified biologically active botulinum toxin through a process for purifying a botulinum toxin which is a substantially APF purification process.

(Ex. 37, '740 patent at col. 47:28-51.)

D.E. U.S. Patent No. 8,409,828

36,40. The '828 patent, titled "Animal Product Free System and Process for Purifying a Botulinum Toxin," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on April 2, 2013. A true and accurate copy of the '828 patent is attached as Exhibit 48.

37,41. The named inventors listed on the '828 patent are Hui Xiang, Mingjiang Luo, Ping Wang, and Stephen Donovan.

~~38~~42. Allergan, Inc. is the assignee of the '828 patent. Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland Unlimited Company holds an exclusive license with respect to the '828 patent, and Allergan USA, Inc. holds an exclusive sublicense with respect to the '828 patent.

~~39~~43. The '828 patent includes nine claims. By way of example, claim 1 of the '828 patent recites an animal product free process for purifying a biologically active botulinum toxin:

1. An animal product free process for purifying a biologically active botulinum toxin, the process comprising the steps of:
 - (a) preparing a botulinum toxin fermentation culture for passage over chromatography columns, wherein the fermentation culture is animal product free;
 - (b) contacting a first chromatography column resin with prepared botulinum toxin fermentation culture, so as to permit capture of a botulinum toxin by the first column, wherein the first chromatography column resin utilizes a first separation mechanism selected from the group consisting of ion exchange, hydrophobic interaction, gel filtration and mixed mode mechanisms;
 - (c) eluting the botulinum toxin from the first column;
 - (d) loading a second column with eluent from the first column, wherein the second column interacts with eluent from the first column utilizing a second separation mechanism different from the first separation mechanism from the first column wherein the second separation mechanism is selected from the group consisting of ion exchange, hydrophobic interaction, gel filtration and mixed mode mechanisms; and
 - (e) eluting the botulinum toxin from the second column, thereby obtaining a purified biologically active toxin.

(Ex. 48, '828 patent at col. 46:42-65.)

E.F. U.S. Patent No. 11,124,786

~~40~~44. The '786 patent, titled "Process and System for Obtaining Botulinum Neurotoxin," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on September 21, 2021. A true and accurate copy of the '786 patent is attached as Exhibit ~~5~~9.

41,45. The named inventors listed on the '786 patent are Jennifer L. Ton, Hemant A. Patel, Ronald C. Bates, and Wajdie M. Ahmad.

42,46. Allergan, Inc. is the assignee of the '786 patent. Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland Unlimited Company holds an exclusive license with respect to the '786 patent, and Allergan USA, Inc. holds an exclusive sublicense with respect to the '786 patent.

43,47. The '786 patent includes 14 claims. By way of example, claim 1 of the '786 patent recites a substantially animal product free process utilizing chromatography for purifying dissociated, approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A:

1. A substantially animal product free (APF) process utilizing chromatography for purifying *Clostridium botulinum* toxin serotype A (BoNT/A) in a sample comprising dissociated, approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A and at least one impurity protein comprising:
 - (a) contacting the sample with an anion exchange chromatography (AEX) media under conditions whereby the approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A is bound to the AEX media;
 - (b) washing and eluting the bound approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A to provide a first eluent;
 - (c) contacting the first eluent with a sodium phosphate buffer;
 - (d) further contacting the first eluent with a cation exchange chromatography (CEX) media under conditions whereby the approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A is bound to the CEX media;
 - (e) washing and eluting the bound approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A, including contacting the bound approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A with a salt comprising sodium chloride, to provide a second eluent; and
 - (f) recovering purified dissociated, approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A.

(Ex. 59, '786 patent at col. 47:32-48:4.)

G. U.S. Patent No. 11,203,748

48. The '748 patent, titled "Process and System for Obtaining Botulinum Neurotoxin," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on December 21, 2021. A true and accurate copy of the '748 patent is attached as Exhibit 10.

Formatted: Pleading1_L2, Tab stops: 1", List tab

Formatted: Body Text, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

49. The named inventors listed on the '748 patent are Jennifer L. Ton, Hemant A. Patel, Ronald C. Bates, and Wajdie M. Ahmad.

50. Allergan, Inc. is the assignee of the '748 patent. Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland Unlimited Company holds an exclusive license with respect to the '748 patent, and Allergan USA, Inc. holds an exclusive sublicense with respect to the '748 patent.

51. The '748 patent includes 14 claims. By way of example, claim 1 of the '748 patent recites a substantially animal product free process utilizing chromatography for purifying approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A that is essentially free of 900 kDa BoNT/A:

1. A substantially animal product free (APF) process utilizing chromatography for purifying *Clostridium botulinum* toxin serotype A (BoNT/A) in a sample comprising approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A and at least one impurity protein, the process comprising:

- (a) contacting the sample with an anion exchange chromatography (AEX) media under conditions whereby the approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A is bound to the AEX media;
 - (b) eluting the bound approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A to provide a first eluate;
 - (c) contacting the first eluate with a buffer;
 - (d) further contacting the first eluate with a cation exchange chromatography (CEX) media under conditions whereby the approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A is bound to the CEX media;
 - (e) eluting the bound approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A to provide a second eluate; and
 - (f) recovering a composition comprising purified approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A;
- wherein the composition is essentially free of 900 kDa BoNT/A.

(Ex. 10, '748 patent at col. 47:37-48:6.)

H. U.S. Patent No. 11,326,155

52. The '155 patent, titled "Process and System for Obtaining Botulinum Neurotoxin," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on May 10, 2022. A true and accurate copy of the '155 patent is attached as Exhibit 11.

Formatted: Pleading1_L2, Tab stops: 1", List tab

Formatted: Body Text, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

53. The named inventors listed on the '155 patent are Jennifer L. Ton, Hemant A. Patel, Ronald C. Bates, and Wajdie M. Ahmad.

54. Allergan, Inc. is the assignee of the '155 patent. Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland Unlimited Company holds an exclusive license with respect to the '155 patent, and Allergan USA, Inc. holds an exclusive sublicense with respect to the '155 patent.

55. The '155 patent includes 27 claims. By way of example, claim 1 of the '155 patent recites a substantially animal-protein free approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A composition essentially free of 900 kDa BoNT/A complex with nucleic acid impurities less than 1 ng per 1mg of purified 150 kDa BoNT/A and which is obtained by a process utilizing chromatography:

1. A substantially animal-protein free (APF) composition comprising approximately 150 kDa *Clostridial botulinum* toxin serotype A (BoNT/A) obtained by a process comprising purifying a sample comprising the approximately 150 kDa BoNT/A and at least one impurity protein with a series of chromatography columns, wherein the APF composition comprises less than 1 ng nucleic acid impurities per 1 mg of purified 150 kDa BoNT/A, and wherein the substantially APF composition is essentially free of 900 kDa BoNT/A complex.

(Ex. 11, '155 patent at col. 47:38-47.)

F.I. U.S. Patent No. 7,332,567

44.56. The '567 patent, titled "FRET Protease Assays for Clostridial Toxins," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO on February 19, 2008. A true and accurate copy of the '567 patent is attached as Exhibit 612.

45.57. The named inventors listed on the '567 patent are Lance E. Steward, Ester Fernandez-Salas, and Kei Roger Aoki.

46,58. Allergan, Inc. is the assignee of the '567 patent. Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland Unlimited Company holds an exclusive license with respect to the '567 patent, and Allergan USA, Inc. holds an exclusive sublicense with respect to the '567 patent.

47,59. The '567 patent includes 131 claims. By way of example, claim 1 of the '567 patent recites a BoNT/A substrate for use in a potency assay:

1. A botulinum toxin serotype A (BoNT/A) substrate, comprising:
 - (a) a donor fluorophore;
 - (b) an acceptor fluorophore having an absorbance spectrum overlapping the emission spectrum of said donor fluorophore; and
 - (c) a BoNT/A recognition sequence comprising a cleavage site, wherein said cleavage site intervenes between said donor fluorophore and said acceptor fluorophore;
 wherein, under the appropriate conditions, resonance energy transfer is exhibited between said donor fluorophore and said acceptor fluorophore.

(Ex. 612, '567 patent at col. 107:41-54.)

III. Revance's DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection Product

A. Revance's Formulation for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection

48,60. Revance has developed a botulinum toxin product called DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection (also sometimes referred to as "DAXI"), with the goal of obtaining FDA approval for a botulinum toxin product for both aesthetic and therapeutic uses that are in direct competition with Plaintiffs' BOTOX® and BOTOX® Cosmetic product lines. (See, e.g., Ex. 4913, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Presentation at Slides 11, 13, 16-19, and 27-29 (Jan. 2021).) Revance's BLA No. 761127 seeks approval for a DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection product known as DAXXIFY (also referred to as "DAXI").

49,61. Revance completed Phase III clinical studies regarding the use of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection for the treatment of glabellar lines in human patients

in late 2019. (See, e.g., Ex. 4013, Revance Therapeutics, Inc. Presentation at Slides 16-18 (Jan. 2021); see also Ex. 4414, Carruthers et al., *DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection for the Treatment of Glabellar Lines: Results from Each of Two Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Phase 3 Studies (SAKURA 1 and SAKURA 2)*, Plastic and Recon. Surg. 145(1):45-58, at 46 (Jan. 2020) (“Carruthers 2020”).) These Phase III studies involved a series of treatments consisting of five intramuscular 0.1 mL injections. (See, e.g., Ex. 4414, Carruthers 2020 at 46-47.)

50.62. The formulation of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection includes 150 kDa BoNT/A (“RTT150”), a peptide (“RTP004”), at least one sugar, a surfactant (i.e., polysorbate-20), and buffers. (See, e.g., Ex. 4215, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-K at 12 (Feb. 25, 2021); Ex. 4414, Carruthers 2020 at 46.)

51.63. DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection “does not contain human or animal-based components.” (See Ex. 4215, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-K at 1 (Feb. 25, 2021); Ex. 4013, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Presentation at Slide 19 (Jan. 2021).)

52.64. DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection will be supplied as a lyophilized powder, which will require reconstitution with saline before intramuscular injection. (See, e.g., Ex. 4215, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-K at 12 (Feb. 25, 2021).)

53.65. DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection does not require refrigerated or cold storage. (See, e.g., Ex. 4013, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Presentation at Slide 19 (Jan. 2021).)

54.66. On information and belief, the formulation of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection retains at least about 75% of the theoretical maximum potency of the botulinum toxin following storage as a powder for three months at below-freezing temperature.

67. On information and belief, the formulation of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection retains at least about 50% of the theoretical maximum potency of the botulinum toxin following reconstitution.

68. On information and belief, the formulation of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection retains at least about 50% of the theoretical maximum potency of the botulinum toxin following storage as a powder for three months at room temperature.

B. Revance's Manufacturing Process for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection

~~55~~69. Revance has used, and will continue to use following FDA approval, a manufacturing process for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection that is “entirely free of animal and human-derived materials and depends on standard raw materials available commercially.” (See Ex. ~~42~~15, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-K at 13 (Feb. 25, 2021); see also Ex. ~~43~~16, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Presentation at Slide 19 (April 19, 2018) (stating “No Animal-Derived Material Used in Processing”).)

~~56~~70. On information and belief, U.S. Patent No. 9,469,849 (“the ‘849 patent”), which is assigned to Revance, also recites the process used by Revance to manufacture DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection. (See Ex. ~~44~~17, ‘849 patent at col. 18:5-19:62.)

~~57~~71. Example 1 in the ‘849 patent, captioned “Comparison of Inventive Process with a Modified Schantz Process,” describes, *inter alia*, a downstream chromatography strategy to purify non-complexed BoNT/A, free of the 900 kDa complex, involving a hydrophobic interaction column followed by an anion exchange column. (See Ex. ~~44~~17, ‘849 patent at col. ~~16:52-56~~; 18:5-19:62.) The example describes the eluent from the anion exchange column being contacted with a sodium phosphate buffer and then loaded onto a cation exchange column, whereby the bound, non-complexed BoNT/A is contacted with sodium

Formatted: Body Text, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

chloride. (*Id.*) The '849 patent further states that the process described in Example 1 “can find use in large-scale efficient purification of a non-complexed botulinum toxin suitable for use, *e.g.*, as an active ingredient in pharmaceutical compositions.” (*Id.* at col. 19:63-20:2.)

72. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Formatted: Body Text, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

58-73. Revance has entered into a Technology Transfer, Validation and Commercial Fill/Finish Services Agreement with at least ABPS (“the ABPS Service Agreement”) to provide Revance “with expanded capacity and a second source for drug product manufacturing to support a global launch of [DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection].” (*See* Ex. 4519, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-K at 10 (Feb. 26, 2020); *see also* Ex. 4620, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-Q at 35, 38 (May 9, 2017) (“We plan to utilize our internal and external Althea facility to support commercial production of [DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection].”); Ex. 4721, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-Q Exhibit 10.4 (May 9, 2017).)

59-74. Revance directs and controls ABPS’s manufacturing efforts with respect to DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection.

C. Revance’s Potency Assay for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection

60-75. Revance has used, and will continue to use following FDA approval, a BoNT/A substrate in connection with a cell-based potency assay designed to measure the relative

potency of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection for release and stability testing of both their drug substance and drug product.

64-76. Revance presented a public poster at the TOXINS 2019 conference in Denmark with the following statements:

To measure relative potency of sample material to reference, a reporter cell line is used to evaluate the response of sample material relative to a reference with known potency previously defined by mouse LD₅₀ testing. Briefly, the cells are engineered to stably express recombinant SNAP-25 protein with 2 distinct fluorescent proteins on SNAP-25's N- and C-termini. In the presence of [DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection], the recombinant SNAP-25 is cleaved releasing the C-terminal fluorophore into the cytosol where it is degraded. The second N-terminal fluorophore remains intact and can be used for signal normalization between wells. The extent of SNAP-25 cleavage is measured by assessing the emission ratio of the 2 fluorophores as a function of [DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection] concentration.

(See Ex. 4822, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Poster (Jan. 17-18, 2019); see also Ex. 4923, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Press Release (Jan. 15, 2019); Ex. 2024, Smyth *et al.*, *Development of a Cell-Based Potency Assay for Release and Stability Testing of Drug Substance and Drug Product*, Abstracts / Toxicon, S2-S120, at S105 (2018).)

62-77. Revance has also in-licensed cell-based potency assay technology from BioSentinel, Inc. ("BioSentinel") for research, development, and manufacturing purposes. (See, e.g., Ex. 2425, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-Q at 27 (Nov. 9, 2020).) This technology includes, for example, BioSentinel's BoCell™ A cell-based potency assay, which utilizes a full-length SNAP-25 protein as a reporter that is capable, under appropriate conditions, of exhibiting resonance energy transfer. (See, e.g., Ex. 2226, BioSentinel, Inc., *Nomination to ICCVAM: BoCell™ A Cell-based Assay for Botulinum Neurotoxin A Detection* (citing Ex. 2327, Dong *et al.*, *Using Fluorescent sensors to detect botulinum neurotoxin activity in vitro and in living cells*, PNAS

101(41):14701-06 (Oct. 12, 2004) (“Here we report a recently developed method based on monitoring fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) changes between cyan (CFP) and yellow (YFP) fluorescent protein pairs, to detect toxin activity in real time in vitro. Furthermore, using CFP/YFP pairs, we are able to detect BoNT activity in living cells, which enabled us to study toxin substrate cleavage *in situ*.”)).

63,78. The ABPS Service Agreement includes certain quality control and inspection provisions for Revance to ensure the satisfactory quality of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection. (See, e.g., Ex. 4215, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-K at 14 (Feb. 25, 2021).)

64,79. On information and belief, Defendants’ manufacturing efforts, including those related to quality control and inspection of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, involve certain cell-based potency assays that utilize the BoNT/A substrate technology described above for release and stability testing of Defendants’ DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection drug substance and drug product.

IV. ~~Imminent~~ **FDA Approval of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, and Revance’s Related Preparations to Launch**

65,80. Revance filed a BLA No. 761127 for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection for the treatment of glabellar lines in November 2019.

66,81. Revance’s BLA was accepted by the FDA on February 5, 2020, with a Prescription Drug User Fee Act (“PDUFA”) “target action date” initially set for November 25, 2020. (See, e.g., Ex. 4215, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-K at 7 (Feb. 25, 2021).) The PDUFA “target action date” is the date when the applicant can expect the FDA to render its decision regarding approval of a BLA. In general, the FDA

will typically render a decision on a BLA no later than 10 months after its submission.

See 21 U.S.C. § 379 *et seq.*

~~67~~82. On November 24, 2020, the FDA deferred its decision on Revance's BLA for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection and, at the same time, postponed the original PDUFA target action date of November 25, 2020. (*See, e.g.*, Ex. ~~71~~71, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-Q at 44 (Aug. 5, 2021).)

~~68~~83. The FDA's deferral decision with respect to Revance's BLA for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection was due to travel restrictions related to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, which impacted the ability of the FDA to conduct an inspection of Revance's manufacturing facility for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection. (*See, e.g.*, Ex. ~~1013~~1013, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Investor Presentation at Slide 13 (Jan. 21, 2021).)

~~69~~84. An on-site inspection of Revance's manufacturing facility for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection by the FDA was one of the final steps that needed to occur before Revance obtains FDA approval of its BLA. (*See* Ex. ~~2428~~2428, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-Q at 40 (May 10, 2021 ("Though our BLA is still under review, the FDA did not indicate there were any other review issues at the time beyond the on-site inspection.")).

~~70~~85. On May 26, 2021, Revance announced that the FDA planned to initiate pre-approval inspection of Revance's manufacturing facility for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection by the end of June 2021. (*See, e.g.*, Ex. ~~2529~~2529, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 8-K (May 26, 2021)). The FDA then inspected Revance's manufacturing facility located at 7555 Gateway Blvd., Newark, California on June 21-25 and 28-30, and July 1-2, 2021. The FDA subsequently issued a Form 483, dated July 2, 2021, in which the

FDA provided its findings on deficiencies that were identified during its on-site inspection of Revance's manufacturing facility. The FDA Form 483 identifies certain issues with Revance's manufacturing facility, and does not cite any issues concerning the safety and efficacy data from clinical studies submitted in support of Revance's BLA for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection. A redacted version of the FDA Form 483, which became publicly available on October 12, 2021, pursuant to a third-party Freedom of Information Act request, is attached hereto as Exhibit ~~26~~30. An unredacted version of this document is not currently publicly available.

~~71~~86. Following the issuance of the FDA Form 483 to Revance on July 2, 2021, Revance made no mention of these developments in its quarterly report filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on August 5, 2021. (*See, e.g.*, Ex. ~~71~~71, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-Q at 9 (Aug. 5, 2021).) During Revance's earnings call that same day, Revance CEO Mark Foley stated, "with the FDA having initiated their pre-approval inspection of our manufacturing facility in June, we continue to anticipate the approval of our lead product, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for injection for the treatment of glabellar lines this year." (Ex. ~~27~~31, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Earnings Call at 7-8 (Aug. 5, 2021).) When subsequently presenting at the Wells Fargo 2021 Virtual Healthcare Conference on September 9, 2021, Mr. Foley described the FDA's on-site visit as "a very typical inspection." (*See, e.g.*, Ex. ~~28~~32, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Investor Conference at 6 (Sept. 9, 2021).)

~~72~~87. Revance first publicly acknowledged the FDA Form 483 through an October 12, 2021 press release, and did so only following the public disclosure of the redacted version of that document. (*See, e.g.*, Ex. ~~29~~33, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Press Release

(Oct. 12, 2021).) In its press release, Revance stated that the “company remains confident in the quality of its BLA submission and continues to anticipate FDA approval in 2021.” (*Id.*)

73,88. On October 15, 2021, Revance announced that the FDA had issued a Complete Response Letter (“CRL”) regarding Revance’s BLA application for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, stating that “the FDA indicated it is unable to approve the BLA in its present form and indicated that there are deficiencies related to the FDA’s onsite inspection at the Company’s manufacturing facility. The Company plans to request a Type A meeting with the FDA as soon as possible to address the deficiencies raised.” (*See* Ex. 3434, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 8-K (Oct. 15, 2021).) In an accompanying press release, Revance further stated that “no other deficiencies were identified in the CRL” other than those “related to the FDA’s onsite inspection at Revance’s manufacturing facility.” (*See* Ex. 3435, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Press Release (Oct. 15, 2021).)

74,89. In its subsequent quarterly report filed with the SEC on November 9, 2021, Revance stated that, “following our receipt of the CRL, the Company received additional information from the FDA, and plans to file a Type A meeting request to gain clarity and alignment on the requirements for approval.” (*See* Ex. 3236, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-Q at 31 (Nov. 9, 2021).) Revance CEO Mark Foley then stated in an earnings call that same day that, following feedback from the FDA and in view of Revance’s ongoing consultation with external experts, Revance “feel[s] really good” about its responses to the FDA Form 483. (*See, e.g.*, Ex. 3337, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Earnings Call at 9 (Nov. 9, 2021).)

75-90. During the same November 9, 2021 earnings call, Revance CEO Mark Foley further stated that the FDA provided Revance with an Establishment Inspection Report (“EIR”) that included additional feedback from the FDA’s site inspector on the FDA Form 483 and the approval pathway for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, which Mr. Foley stated would guide Revance’s submission of its Type A meeting request. (See, e.g., Ex. 3337, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Earnings Call at 9 (Nov. 9, 2021).) A redacted version of the EIR is attached hereto as Exhibit 3438.

76-91. ~~FDA approval of Revance’s DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection product is imminent, and Revance anticipates launching its~~ Defendants have undertaken significant, concrete, and meaningful preparations to launch DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection product as soon as possible following upon FDA approval. (See, e.g., Ex. 3337, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Earnings Call at 4, 9 (Nov. 9, 2021) (CEO Mark Foley stating that Revance’s “number one priority” is to obtain DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection approval, and that the company is “looking at . . . all different avenues” to launch “as soon as possible”); see also *id.* at 4 (Mr. Foley stating, “I can assure you that the entire Revance team is as determined as ever to get [DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection] approved as soon as possible.”); Ex. 2832, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Investor Conference at 6 (Sept. 9, 2021) (Revance CEO Mark Foley stating, “We’ve got our launch strategy and everything in place. And so we’re ready to flip the switch as soon as we receive notice from the agency.”); see also Ex. 2731, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Earnings Call at 7-8 (Aug. 5, 2021); Ex. 4013, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Presentation at Slide 9 (Jan. 2021).)

92. Revance announced FDA approval of DAXXIFY (DaxibotulinumtoxinA-lanm) on September 8, 2022. (See Ex. 39, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Press Release (Sept. 8, 2022).)

Formatted: Body Text, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

—On information and belief, Revance has filed a Prior Approval Supplement (“PAS”) for ABPS, which has already been accepted by the FDA, with anticipated FDA approval of the ABPS manufacturing facility in 2023. (See Ex. 40, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Earnings Call at 4-5 (Nov. 8, 2022); see also Ex. 41, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-Q at 56 (Nov. 8, 2022) (“[W]e have filed a PAS for ABPS.”).)

~~77,93. Defendants have undertaken significant, concrete, and meaningful preparations to launch DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection upon FDA approval, which is imminent.~~

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25"

94. Revance announced the submission of a supplemental BLA for DAXXIFY (DaxibotulinumtoxinA-lanm) for the treatment of cervical dystonia on October 20, 2022. (See Ex. 42, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Press Release (Oct. 20, 2022).)

Formatted: Body Text, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

~~78,95.~~ Defendants have also stockpiled commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection for immediate release upon the FDA’s ~~imminent~~ approval. For example, Revance has announced the manufacturing process for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection “is already scaled up to support expected future commercial demands” upon FDA approval. (See, e.g., Ex. ~~42~~15, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Form 10-K at 13 (Feb. 25, 2021); Ex. ~~35~~43, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Press Release at 2 (Aug. 5, 2021).)

~~79,96.~~ Defendants’ stockpiled batches have not been manufactured solely for uses reasonably related to the development and submission of information for the regulatory approval of Revance’s BLA. For example, Revance’s CEO Mark Foley stated on August 5, 2021, that Revance “is actively building inventory and solidifying [Revance’s]

commercial launch plans” for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection. (Ex. ~~27~~31, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Earnings Call at 4 (Aug. 5, 2021); *see also* Ex. ~~35~~43, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Press Release at 2 (Aug. 5, 2021) (“Revance continues to anticipate receiving approval for DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection in 2021 and is actively building inventory and preparing for commercial launch.”); Ex. 40, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Earnings Call at 4-5 (Nov. 8, 2022) (“[ABPS] is already in the process of building inventory . . . Recall that [Revance has] a wholly-owned FDA-approved manufacturing facility in Newark, California that produces both drug substance and drug product, and we’ve been building inventory at that site in advance of approval.”).)

Revance’s President Dustin Sjuts has stated that, “in preparation for the anticipated FDA approval for our next-generation neuromodulator, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection in glabellar lines, we continue to build out and test the infrastructure, operations, and back-office support to ensure a smooth launch upon approval.” (Ex. ~~36~~44, Revance Therapeutics, Inc., Earnings Call at 8 (Feb. 22, 2021).)

~~80~~97. Defendants’ activities with respect to the stockpiled commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection have infringed the Asserted Patents, including through the manufacture and/or use of each batch utilizing the formulations, processes, and BoNT/A substrates claimed in the Asserted Patents.

~~81~~98. Defendants have coordinated with respect to the manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, and will continue to do so, in substantial and meaningful preparation for immediate launch of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection upon FDA approval, which is now imminent.

~~82~~99. A real, substantial, and immediate controversy currently exists between Plaintiffs and Defendants concerning Defendants' activities with respect to DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection.

COUNT I: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '625 PATENT

~~83~~100. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

~~84~~101. Revance and its agents' manufacture and/or use within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '625 patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~85~~102. ABPS's manufacture or use, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '625 patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~86~~103. An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection has infringed one or more claims of the '625 patent.

~~87~~104. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants have infringed one or more claims of the '625 patent by making and/or using within the United States, or by importing into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of that patent.

~~88~~105. Defendants' manufacture and/or use within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before

the expiration of the '625 patent has caused Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

**COUNT II: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '625 PATENT**

~~89,106.~~ Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

~~90,107.~~ Revance and its agents intend to, and have expressed that they will immediately ~~upon the imminent~~ following FDA approval of the BLA, manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sell within the United States, or import into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection.

~~94,108.~~ Revance and its agents' manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '625 patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~92,109.~~ ABPS's manufacture or use, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '625 patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~93,110.~~ An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection will infringe one or more claims of the '625 patent.

~~94,111.~~ Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants will infringe one or more claims of the '625 patent by making, using, offering to sell, or selling within the United

States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of that patent.

~~95.~~112. Defendants' manufacture, use, offer for sale, and/or sale within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '625 patent will cause Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT III: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '878 PATENT

~~96.~~113. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

~~97.~~114. Revance and its agents' manufacture and/or use within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '878 patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~98.~~115. ABPS's manufacture or use, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '878 patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~99.~~116. An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection has infringed one or more claims of the '878 patent.

~~100.~~117. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants have infringed one or more claims of the '878 patent by making and/or using within the United States, or by

importing into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of that patent.

~~401,118.~~ Defendants' manufacture and/or use within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '878 patent has caused Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

**COUNT IV: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '878 PATENT**

~~402,119.~~ Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

~~403,120.~~ Revance and its agents intend to, and have expressed that they will immediately ~~upon the imminent~~ following FDA approval of the BLA, manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sell within the United States, or import into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection.

~~404,121.~~ Revance and its agents' manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '878 patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~405,122.~~ ABPS's manufacture or use, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '878 patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~406.123.~~ An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection will infringe one or more claims of the '878 patent.

~~407.124.~~ Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants will infringe one or more claims of the '878 patent by making, using, offering to sell, or selling within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of that patent.

~~408.125.~~ Defendants' manufacture, use, offer for sale, and/or sale within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '878 patent will cause Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT V: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '216 PATENT

126. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

127. Revance and its agents' manufacture and/or use within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '216 patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

128. ABPS's manufacture or use, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '216 patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

Formatted: Body Text, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25"

129. An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection has infringed one or more claims of the '216 patent.

130. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants have infringed one or more claims of the '216 patent by making and/or using within the United States, or by importing into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of that patent.

131. Defendants' manufacture and/or use within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '216 patent has caused Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT VI: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '216 PATENT

132. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

133. Revance and its agents intend to, and have expressed that they will immediately following FDA approval of the BLA, manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sell within the United States, or import into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection.

134. Revance and its agents' manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '216 patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25"

Formatted: Body Text, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

135. ABPS's manufacture or use, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '216 patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

136. An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection will infringe one or more claims of the '216 patent.

137. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants will infringe one or more claims of the '216 patent by making, using, offering to sell, or selling within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of that patent.

138. Defendants' manufacture, use, offer for sale, and/or sale within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of the '216 patent will cause Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

~~109.~~ COUNT VII: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '740 patent

~~140,139.~~ Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

~~141,140.~~ Revance and its agents' manufacture within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '740 patent before the expiration of that patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

~~142.~~141. ABPS's manufacture, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '740 patent before the expiration of that patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~143.~~142. An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection has infringed one or more claims of the '740 patent.

~~144.~~143. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants have infringed one or more claims of the '740 patent by making within the United States, or by importing into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of that patent.

~~145.~~144. Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of the '740 patent before the expiration of that patent has caused Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

**COUNT ~~IV~~VIII: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '740 PATENT**

~~146.~~145. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

~~147.~~146. Revance and its agents intend to, and have expressed that they will immediately ~~upon the imminent~~following FDA approval of the BLA, manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sell within the United States, or import into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection.

~~148.~~147. Revance and its agents' manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made

according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '740 patent before the expiration of that patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~149,148.~~ ABPS's manufacture, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '740 patent before the expiration of that patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~120,149.~~ An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection will infringe one or more claims of the '740 patent.

~~124,150.~~ Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants will infringe one or more claims of the '740 patent by making DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of that patent within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection manufactured by the claimed process of that patent, before the expiration of that patent.

~~122,151.~~ Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of the '740 patent before the expiration of that patent will cause Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT ~~VIII~~: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '828 PATENT

~~123.152.~~ Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

~~124.153.~~ Revance and its agents' manufacture within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '828 patent before the expiration of that patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~125.154.~~ ABPS's manufacture, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '828 patent before the expiration of that patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~126.155.~~ An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection has infringed one or more claims of the '828 patent.

~~127.156.~~ Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants have infringed one or more claims of the '828 patent by making within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of that patent.

~~128.157.~~ Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of the '828 patent before the expiration of that patent has caused Plaintiffs injury, entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

**COUNT ~~VIII~~: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '828 PATENT**

~~129.~~158. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

~~130.~~159. Revance and its agents intend to, and have expressed that they will immediately ~~upon the imminent~~following FDA approval of the BLA, manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sell within the United States, or import into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection.

~~131.~~160. Revance and its agents' manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '828 patent before the expiration of that patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~132.~~161. ABPS's manufacture, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '828 patent before the expiration of that patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~133.~~162. An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection will infringe one or more claims of the '828 patent.

~~134.~~163. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants will infringe one or more claims of the '828 patent by making DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the

claimed process of that patent within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection manufactured by the claimed process of that patent, before the expiration of that patent.

~~135.164.~~ Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of the '828 patent before the expiration of that patent will cause Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT ~~XXXI~~: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '786 PATENT

~~136.165.~~ Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

~~137.166.~~ Revance and its agents' manufacture within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '786 patent before the expiration of that patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~138.167.~~ ABPS's manufacture, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '786 patent before the expiration of that patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~139.168.~~ An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection has infringed one or more claims of the '786 patent.

~~140.169.~~ Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants have infringed one or more claims of the '786 patent by making within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of that patent.

~~141.170.~~ Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of the '786 patent before the expiration of that patent has caused Plaintiffs injury, entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

**COUNT ~~XXII~~: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '786 PATENT**

~~142.171.~~ Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

~~143.172.~~ Revance and its agents intend to, and have expressed that they will immediately ~~upon the imminent~~following FDA approval of the BLA, manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sell within the United States, or import into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection.

~~144.173.~~ Revance and its agents' manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '786 patent before the expiration of that patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~145.174.~~ ABPS's manufacture, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '786 patent before the expiration of that patent will directly infringe, under 28

U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~446.175.~~ An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection will infringe one or more claims of the '786 patent.

~~447.176.~~ Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants will infringe one or more claims of the '786 patent by making DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of that patent within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection manufactured by the claimed process of that patent, before the expiration of that patent.

~~448.177.~~ Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of the '786 patent before the expiration of that patent will cause Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT XIXIII: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '748 PATENT

178. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

179. Revanco and its agents' manufacture within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '748 patent before the expiration of that patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

180. ABPS's manufacture, either on its own accord or under Revanco's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '748 patent before the expiration of that patent has directly

Formatted: Centered, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", Space After: 12 pt, Line spacing: single, Keep with next

infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

181. An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection has infringed one or more claims of the '748 patent.

182. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants have infringed one or more claims of the '748 patent by making within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of that patent.

183. Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of the '748 patent before the expiration of that patent has caused Plaintiffs injury, entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

**COUNT XIV: DECLARATORY JUDGEMENT
OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '748 PATENT**

184. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

185. Revance and its agents intend to, and have expressed that they will immediately following FDA approval of the BLA, manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sell within the United States, or import into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection.

186. Revance and its agents' manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '748 patent before the expiration of that patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

187. ABPS's manufacture, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '748 patent before the expiration of that patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

188. An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection will infringe one or more claims of the '748 patent.

189. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants will infringe one or more claims of the '748 patent by making DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of that patent within the United States, or by importing into the United

States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection manufactured by the claimed process of that patent, before the expiration of that patent.

190. Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of the '748 patent before the expiration of that patent will cause Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT XV: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '155 PATENT

191. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
192. Revance and its agents' manufacture within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '155 patent before the expiration of that patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
193. ABPS's manufacture, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '155 patent before the expiration of that patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
194. An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection has infringed one or more claims of the '155 patent.
195. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants have infringed one or more claims of the '155 patent by making within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of that patent.
196. Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of the '155 patent before the expiration of that patent has caused Plaintiffs injury, entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

**COUNT XVI: DECLARATORY JUDGEMENT
OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '155 PATENT**

197. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

198. Revanca and its agents intend to, and have expressed that they will immediately following FDA approval of the BLA, manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sell within the United States, or import into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection.

199. Revanca and its agents' manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sale within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '155 patent before the expiration of that patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

200. ABPS's manufacture, either on its own accord or under Revanca's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection made according to the claimed manufacturing process of the '155 patent before the expiration of that patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

201. An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection will infringe one or more claims of the '155 patent.

202. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants will infringe one or more claims of the '155 patent by making DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of that patent within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection manufactured by the claimed process of that patent, before the expiration of that patent.

203. Defendants' manufacture of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of the '155 patent before the expiration of that patent will cause Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

~~149.~~ **COUNT XVII: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '567 PATENT**

~~150.~~204. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

~~151.~~205. Revanca and its agents' manufacture or use within the United States, or importation into the United States, of BoNT/A substrates, including in connection with cell-based potency assays for release and stability testing of commercial batches of

DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of the '567 patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~152.~~206. ABPS's manufacture or use, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of BoNT/A substrates, including in connection with cell-based potency assays for release and stability testing of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of the '567 patent has directly infringed, under at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a), one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

~~153.~~207. An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether any BoNT/A substrates used by Defendants in cell-based potency assays for release and stability testing of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection has infringed one or more claims of the '567 patent.

~~154.~~208. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants have infringed one or more claims of the '567 patent by making or using within the United States, or by importing into the United States, any infringing BoNT/A substrates, including in connection with cell-based potency assays for release and stability testing of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of that patent.

~~155.~~—Defendants' manufacture and/or use of any infringing BoNT/A substrates, including in connection with cell-based potency assays for release and stability testing of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of the '567 patent, and manufacture of commercial batches of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for

Injection product released or tested in accordance with any cell-based potency assay utilizing infringing BoNT/A substrates before the expiration of that patent, has caused Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

~~COUNT XII: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT~~

~~OF INFRINGEMENT OF THE '567 PATENT~~

~~156. Plaintiffs incorporate each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.~~

~~157. Revance and its agents intend to, and have expressed that they will immediately upon the imminent FDA approval of the BLA, manufacture, use, offer to sell, or sell within the United States, or import into the United States, DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection.~~

~~158. Revance and its agents' manufacture or use within the United States, or importation into the United States, of BoNT/A substrates, including in connection with cell-based potency assays for release and stability testing of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of the '567 patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.~~

~~159. ABPS's manufacture or use, either on its own accord or under Revance's direction and control, within the United States, or importation into the United States, of BoNT/A substrates, including in connection with cell-based potency assays for release and stability testing of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of the '567 patent will directly infringe, under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202 and 35 U.S.C. § 271, one or more claims of that patent, including claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.~~

Formatted: Left, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Double, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Don't keep with next

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", Don't keep with next

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25"

~~160. An actual case or controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties concerning whether any BoNT/A substrates used by Defendants in cell-based potency assays for release and stability testing of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection will infringe one or more claims of the '567 patent.~~

~~161. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment that Defendants will infringe one or more claims of the '567 patent by making or using within the United States, or by importing into the United States, any infringing BoNT/A substrates, including in connection with cell-based potency assays for release and stability testing of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of that patent.~~

~~162,209. Defendants' manufacture and/or use of any infringing BoNT/A substrates, including in connection with cell-based potency assays for release and stability testing of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection, before the expiration of the '567 patent, and manufacture, use, offer to sell, and/or sale of any DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection product released or tested in accordance with any cell-based potency assay utilizing infringing BoNT/A substrates before the expiration of that patent, will cause Plaintiffs injury entitling Plaintiffs to damages, including under 35 U.S.C. § 284.~~

JURY DEMAND

~~163,210.~~ Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiffs respectfully pray for the following relief:

A. A judgment that the '625 patent has been and will be directly infringed by Defendants' manufacture, use, offers to sell, and sales within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of that patent.

B. A judgment that the '878 patent has been and will be directly infringed by Defendants' manufacture, use, offers to sell, and sales within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of that patent.

C. A judgment that the '216 patent has been and will be directly infringed by Defendants' manufacture, use, offers to sell, and sales within the United States, or importation into the United States, of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the expiration of that patent.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25"

~~C.D.~~ A judgment that the '740 patent has been and will be directly infringed by Defendants' making DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of that patent within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection manufactured by the claimed process of that patent, before the expiration of that patent.

~~D.E.~~ A judgment that the '828 patent has been and will be directly infringed by Defendants' making DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of that patent within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection manufactured by the claimed process of that patent, before the expiration of that patent.

~~E.F.~~ A judgment that the '786 patent has been and will be directly infringed by Defendants' making DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of that patent within the United States, or by importing into the United States DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection manufactured by the claimed process of that patent, before the expiration of that patent.

G. A judgment that the '748 patent has been and will be directly infringed by Defendants' making DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of that

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

patent within the United States, or by importing into the United States
DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection manufactured by the claimed process of that patent,
before the expiration of that patent.

H. A judgment that the '155 patent has been and will be directly infringed by Defendants'
making DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection according to the claimed process of that
patent within the United States, or by importing into the United States
DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection manufactured by the claimed process of that patent,
before the expiration of that patent.

~~F.I.~~ A judgment that the '567 patent has been ~~and will be~~ directly infringed by Defendants'
manufacture or use within the United States, or importation into the United States, of
BoNT/A substrates in connection with DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection before the
expiration of that patent.

~~G.J.~~ A declaration that Revance's commercial manufacture, use, offer for sale, or sale
of DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection within the United States, of importation of
DaxibotulinumtoxinA for Injection into the United States, before the expiration of the
'625 patent, the '878 patent, the '216 patent, the '740 patent, the '828 patent, the '786
patent, the '748 patent, and/or the ~~'567~~155 patent, constitutes an act of infringement of
the Asserted Patents.

~~H.K.~~ An order granting any equitable relief that the Court deems appropriate.

~~H.L.~~ Damages adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for Defendants' past, present, and future
infringement of the Asserted Patents, including as permitted by 35 U.S.C. § 284, together
with any prejudgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law, costs, and other
damages.

J.M. A declaration that this is an exceptional case and an award of Plaintiffs' attorney fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

K.N. Such further and additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.

* * *

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL
LLP
/s/ Jack B. Blumenfeld Jeremy A. Tigan

Of Counsel:

Eric W. Dittmann
Chad J. Peterman
Melanie R. Rupert
Bruce M. Wexler
Ashley N. Mays-Williams, Ph.D.
Krystina L. Ho, Ph.D.
Carl J. Minniti, III
Mena J. Gaballah, PharmD
Amanda Hoffman, Ph.D.
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166
(212) 318-6000
ericdittmann@paulhastings.com
chadpeterman@paulhastings.com
melanierupert@paulhastings.com
brucewexler@paulhastings.com
ashleymayswilliams@paulhastings.com
krystinaho@paulhastings.com
carlminniti@paulhastings.com
~~menagaballah~~ amandahoffman@paulhastings.com

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Jeremy A. Tigan (#5239)
1201 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@morrisnichols.com
jtigan@morrisnichols.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Allergan, Inc.,
Allergan Pharmaceuticals Ireland
Unlimited Company,
and Allergan USA, Inc.*

Date: ~~November 24~~ December 27, 2021 2022